

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,820	11/24/2003	Christoph Nagel	101769-246/tesa AG 1627-W	5356	
27386	7590 09/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A. 875 THIRD AVE			FIGUEROA, JOHN J		
18TH FLOOR	· · -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10022	1712			
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/720,820	NAGEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John J. Figueroa	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tte, cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this communic IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29.	June 2006.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the meri	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ 	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers	-		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct at 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica Ority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion Noved in this National Stage)
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I	y (PTO-413) Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of claims 1-5 (item 1 on page 2 of the Office Action of March 16, 2006, hereinafter 'OA') as unpatentable over EP 1,342,684 A to Nootbaar, hereinafter 'Nootbaar', has been withdrawn in view of the certified copy of an English translation of the instant application's foreign priority document that was filed with Applicant's response of June 29, 2006, hereinafter 'Response'.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT International Patent Application Number WO 2003/020623 A1 to Eikmeier et al (as exemplified by United States Patent Application Publication Number 2004/0256515 A1), hereinafter 'Eikmeier'.

Eikmeier discloses self-adhesive materials formed from an adhesive composition comprising 40-90% by total composition weight of acrylic acid and either 60-10% of butyl acrylate, or alternatively, 30-5% by wt. of butyl acrylate with 30-5% by wt. of ethylhexyl acrylate; said composition further containing an ethoxylated C₁₆-C₁₈ alkylamine plasticizer; and wherein said composition can be polymerized free-radically

Art Unit: 1712

in a polar solvent using ethanol as a regulator. (Abstract; page 2, [0023] to [0028]; Figure 1)

Eikmeier discloses that the adhesive blend contains 25-45 % by weight of the acrylate polymers and 55-75% by weight plasticizer (page 2, [0027]) and that the composition can be partially crosslinked with 0.3 to 1.2% of an aluminum chelate crosslinker (page 2, [0028]).

Although Eikmeier discloses the plasticizer to be an ethoxylated alkylamine that is preferably an ethoxylated C_{16} - C_{18} alkylamine, Eikmeier does not expressly teach the ethoxylated plasticizer to be a C_{20} alkylamine.

However, it would have been with the purview of a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a C₂₀ ethoxylated alkylamine plasticizer instead of the C₁₈ ethoxylated alkylamine plasticizer used in Eikmeier's adhesive composition. These two compounds only vary by two methylene groups and thus, are compounds of sufficiently close structural similarity that there would be a presumed expectation that such compounds possess similar properties. In re Wilder, 563 F.2d 457, 195 USPQ 426 (CCPA 1977). In the absence of unexpected results, it is presumed that the adhesive composition will possess the same physical properties using either of these two structurally similar ethoxylated alkylamine plasticizers.

Thus, the claims are unpatentable over Eikmeier.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,820 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

The 35 U.S.C. 103 Rejection over Nootbaar (item 1 of OA)

5. Applicant's arguments filed regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of claims 1-5 as unpatentable over Nootbaar have been considered but have become moot due to the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Figueroa whose telephone number is (571) 272-8916. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs & alt. Fri 8:00-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,820 Page 5

Art Unit: 1712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JJF/RAG

RANDY GULAKOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700